

The Sister City Uniformity Act of 2025

Contact:

ssorleahcim@comcast.net

PROBLEM:

The problem is fourfold:

- a) Several of the Nation's cities have established diplomatic relations with political entities that the
- b) United States does not have diplomatic relations or ties with (e.g., Cuba, Iran, and the Palestinian Authority).
- b) There are no national or state guidelines for establishing diplomatic relations through Sister City programs.
- c) This program has the potential to enhance economic and social relations but, in many instances, inadvertently rewards entities that may not align with U.S. values.
- d) There is a shortage of funding available for these programs, hindering their growth and oversight.

EXAMPLE:

According to the Sister Cities International website (http://www.sistercities.org), American cities have partnerships with international cities spanning from Albania to Zimbabwe. However, the list includes cities located in countries with which the United States lacks diplomatic relations. Examples include:

- a) Tehran, Iran
- b) Santiago de Cuba, Cuba, and
- c) Bethlehem, Palestinian Authority

SOLUTION:

- 1. Establish uniform standards and qualifications for the nation's Sister City programs.
- 2. Create financing mechanisms to attract donors to the program while promoting American markets.
- 3. Fund start-up programs that meet established standards.

SUPPORT:

- 1. Sister Cities International and other Sister City Projects
- 2. Various nonprofit organizations statewide and nationwide that participate in the program
- 3. America's business community
- 4. Local governments
- 5. Specific ethnic populations
- 6. Local residents who oppose certain partnerships or city selections

OPPOSITION:

- 1. Franchise Tax Board (concerns related to costs)
- 2. International cities currently part of the program whose status may be jeopardized by new standards

ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT:

- 1. The program supports America's international allies and strengthens partnerships, fostering cultural understanding and economic cooperation.
- 2. Private donations can serve as the primary funding source, reducing reliance on taxpayer money and encouraging civic engagement.
- 3. Expanding international friendships enhances America's global reputation, strengthens trade relations, and opens markets for American businesses.
- 4. Establishing clear standards ensures compliance with U.S. foreign policy objectives, protecting national security interests while promoting democratic values.
- 5. Sister City partnerships align with economic missions, boosting tourism, trade, and investment opportunities through structured programs.
- 6. Encouraging partnerships with democratically elected governments fosters global stability and highlights America's commitment to democratic principles.
- 7. Creating financial incentives for donations promotes broader public participation and increases program sustainability.

ARGUMENTS IN OPPOSITION:

- 1. Implementing this program will require funding, which states may struggle to allocate amid competing budget priorities.
- 2. Establishing uniform standards may create additional administrative burdens, slowing down approvals and increasing bureaucracy.
- 3. Requiring partnerships to align with U.S. diplomatic relations may lead to the exclusion of valuable cultural and economic exchanges with non-aligned countries.
- 4. Smaller cities may face difficulties meeting stringent standards, limiting participation and diminishing program diversity.
- 5. Dependence on private donations could lead to funding disparities, favoring wealthier cities and disadvantaging less affluent ones.
- 6. Shifting the program's focus may alienate existing international partners, leading to diplomatic tensions or cancellations of long-standing relationships.

PUBLICITY:

- International coverage through diplomatic channels
- Local government announcements and outreach campaigns

HISTORY:

No known introduction of uniform national standards to date.

FISCAL EFFECT:

The estimated cost for California alone is approximately \$2 million over 10 years:

- a) Initial costs include fees to formalize partnerships and establish compliance standards.
- b) Long-term benefits include reimbursement as diplomatic and economic relations expand.

CODE SECTION AFFECTED:

• As per Counsel guidance

LANGUAGE ATTACHED:

- 1. Intent Language:
 - a. Establishing communications and friendships fosters long-term relationships.
 - b. Economic and social ties often follow once "friendships" between political entities are formed.
 - c. The United States supports peace-loving, democratically elected governments that respect citizens' rights.

- d. Strong international alliances enhance U.S. security at home.
- 2. Proposed Language:
 - 1. a. Provide a special tax benefit for donors contributing to Sister City projects.
 - 2. b. Include a tax check-off box on state tax forms to encourage contributions.
 - 3. c. Offer state-matching donations for eligible Sister City programs, covering up to 50% of costs after cities raise initial funding.
- 3. Criteria for Establishing Relations:
 - 1. a. Must be a United Nations member state.
 - 2. b. Must have diplomatic relations with the United States.
 - 3. c. Must maintain diplomatic relations with neighboring countries.
 - 4. d. Must have an embassy presence in California. e. Must support peace initiatives.
 - 5. f. Must have an internationally recognized government.
 - 6. g. Must have a democratically elected government. h. Must not be listed on the Federal Government's "Do Not Travel" advisory list (e.g., North Korea, Iran, Cuba).

STATISTICS:

- Over 500 U.S. cities have established Sister City relationships worldwide (Source: http://www.sistercities.org).
- Sister Cities International estimates that these partnerships generate millions annually in trade and cultural exchange programs.
- California alone has over 100 partnerships, with key players in agriculture, technology, and education benefiting from these alliances.
- Approximately 15% of Sister City relationships are with cities in developing countries, supporting infrastructure development and humanitarian efforts.